# Use of Swarm data in an update of the CHAOS-4 field model

Chris Finlay, Nils Olsen & Lars Tøffner-Clausen

DTU Space, Technical University of Denmark



DTU Space National Space Institute

# Talk Outline



- 1. Introduction
- 2. The CHAOS series of field models
- 3. Observations, including Swarm
- 4. Results: Core field evolution 1999-2014
- 5. Summary and Outlook

# Talk Outline

#### 1. Introduction

- 2. The CHAOS series of field models
- 3. Observations, including Swarm
- 4. Results: Core field evolution 1999-2014
- 5. Summary and Outlook

## Introduction



#### Why do we make models of the internal geomagnetic field?

- 1. Society requires reference models (e.g. IGRF) for orientation/navigation e.g. in mobile devices, for well drilling, aeronautics etc.
- 2. Fundamental questions about the deep Earth, require field models that can be downward continued to probe the core.



# Introduction



#### Why do we make models of the internal geomagnetic field?

- 1. Society requires reference models (e.g. IGRF) for orientation/navigation e.g. in mobile devices, for well drilling, aeronautics etc.
- 2. Fundamental questions about the deep Earth, require field models that can be downward continued to probe the core.



Need high accuracy data for as long a time span as possible

# Introduction



#### Why do we make models of the internal geomagnetic field?

- 1. Society requires reference models (e.g. IGRF) for orientation/navigation e.g. in mobile devices, for well drilling, aeronautics etc.
- 2. Fundamental questions about the deep Earth, require field models that can be downward continued to probe the core.



- Need high accuracy data for as long a time span as possible
- Aim: Combine Swarm and previous missions -> model for 1999-2014

# Talk Outline



#### 1. Introduction

#### 2. The CHAOS series of field models

- 3. Observations, including Swarm
- 4. Results: Core field evolution 1999-2014
- 5. Summary and Outlook

# The CHAOS series of field models

- Models of the near-Earth magnetic field (Olsen et al., 2006, 2009, 2010, 2014)
- ▶ Aims to describe the internal field with high spatial and temporal resolution
- ▶ Initially based on CHamp Ørsted and Sac-c satellite data -> CHAOS
- Latest versions also included ground observatory secular variation data







This study: Update CHAOS-4 using presently available Swarm VFM data

#### Parameterization of CHAOS model I



**•** Potential field approach:  $\mathbf{B} = -\nabla V$  where  $V = V^{\text{int}} + V^{\text{ext}}$ .

The internal part of the potential takes the form

$$V^{\text{int}} = a \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{int}}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left( g_n^m \cos m\phi + h_n^m \sin m\phi \right) \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{n+1} P_n^m \left(\cos \theta\right)$$

And further expanded in time as

$$g_n^m(t) = \sum_l g_{n,l}^m \cdot M_l(t) \quad \text{for } n = 1 - 20$$
  
=  $g_n^m(t_0) \quad (\text{const.}) \quad \text{for } n = 21 - 80$ 

where  $M_l(t)$  are order 6 B-splines with 0.5 yr spacing

#### Parameterization of CHAOS model II

▶ Define external potential in SM and GSM co-ordinate systems, with  $\theta_d$  and  $T_d$  being dipole co-lat. and dipole local time

$$V^{\text{ext}} = a \sum_{n=1}^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left( q_n^m \cos mT_d + s_n^m \sin mT_d \right) \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n P_n^m(\cos \theta_d)$$
  
+ 
$$a \sum_{n=1}^{2} q_n^{0,\text{GSM}} R_n^0(r,\theta,\phi).$$

▶ Degree-1 coefficients in *SM* coordinates dependent on the the RC index



- ▶ Work with data in magnetometer frame co-estimating Euler angles in 10 day bins
- Robust non-linear least squares including regularization, iteratively minimizing

$$[\mathbf{d} - F(\mathbf{m})]^T \underline{\mathbf{W}}^{-1} [\mathbf{d} - F(\mathbf{m})] + \lambda_2 \mathbf{m}^T \underline{\underline{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}_2 \mathbf{m} + \lambda_3 \mathbf{m}^T \underline{\underline{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}_3 \mathbf{m}$$

 $\underline{\underline{W}}$  is a Huber weighting matrix,  $\underline{\underline{\Lambda}}_2$  and  $\underline{\underline{\Lambda}}_3$  are regularization matrices

# Talk Outline



#### 1. Introduction

2. The CHAOS series of field models

#### 3. Observations, including Swarm

- 4. Results: Core field evolution 1999-2014
- 5. Summary and Outlook

# Selection of satellite data

- Quiet times: (Kp  $\leq$  20,  $|dD_{st}/dt| \leq 2nT/hr$ )
- ▶ Night side: data from dark regions, sun 10 deg below horizon
- Vector data below 55 deg geomagnetic latitude
- ▶ Only use polar data if  $E_m$  averaged over preceding 2hrs  $\leq 0.8 \text{mV/m}$



#### The Swarm satellite trio





▶ Use Swarm L1b VFM data (RPRO/OPER 0301), 26th Nov 2013 - 7th June 2014.

#### **Evolution of** Swarm constellation





# Ground observatories: monthly means



Based BGS's hourly mean database.

(Test auxiliary Swarm data product: L2\_AUX\_OBS)



Those providing data in 2014 are shown in red.

# Talk Outline



#### 1. Introduction

- 2. The CHAOS series of field models
- 3. Observations, including Swarm

#### 4. Results: Core field evolution 1999-2014

5. Summary and Outlook

#### Model misfit to Swarm data, statistics

DTH
DIO
**

		Misfit to CHAOS-4plus_V3		
Satellite	Component	N	mean [nT]	rms [nT]
Swarm A	$B_r$	33,712	-0.03	1.74
	$B_{ heta}$		0.16	3.07
	$B_{\phi}$		-0.14	2.74
	$F_{\sf non \ polar}$		-0.09	2.17
Swarm B	$B_r$	33,929	0.08	1.96
	$B_{ heta}$		0.13	3.12
	$B_{\phi}$		-0.12	2.81
	$F_{\sf non \ polar}$		0.07	2.12
Swarm C	$B_r$	30,818	0.18	1.99
	$B_{ heta}$		0.20	3.21
	$B_{\phi}$		-0.13	2.74
	$F_{\sf non \ polar}$		0.05	2.22
CHAMP	$B_r$	497,376	0.01	2.80
	$B_{ heta}$		0.10	3.60
	$B_{\phi}$		- 0.02	2.76
	$F_{\sf non \ polar}$		-0.11	2.12

# Model misfit to Swarm data, dependence on latitude



#### Model misfit to Swarm data, dependence on time



DTU

# Fit to annual differences of observatory monthly means





Fig: Radial field at core surface in 1999.5 to n = 14. Units: mT.

# Core surface radial field in 2014.5





Fig: Radial field at core surface in 2014.5 to n = 14. Units: mT.



Fig: SV of radial field at core surface in 2014.25 to n = 15. Units: nT/yr.

DTU

#### Time-dependence of secular variation coefficients



#### Secular acceleration pulses at CMB?





# Talk Outline



- 1. Introduction
- 2. The CHAOS series of field models
- 3. Observations, including Swarm
- 4. Results: Core field evolution 1999-2014
- 5. Summary and Outlook

Derived a new time-dependent geomagnetic field model spanning 1999-2014





- Derived a new time-dependent geomagnetic field model spanning 1999-2014
- Consistently accounts for 15 yrs of magnetic data, from 6 satellites (Ørsted, CHAMP, SAC-C, Swarm-A, Swarm-B, Swarm-C)



- Derived a new time-dependent geomagnetic field model spanning 1999-2014
- Consistently accounts for 15 yrs of magnetic data, from 6 satellites (Ørsted, CHAMP, SAC-C, Swarm-A, Swarm-B, Swarm-C)
- Rms misfit to Swarm vector data in NEC frame is lower than for previous satellite missions, expect this to improve further



- Derived a new time-dependent geomagnetic field model spanning 1999-2014
- Consistently accounts for 15 yrs of magnetic data, from 6 satellites (Ørsted, CHAMP, SAC-C, Swarm-A, Swarm-B, Swarm-C)
- Rms misfit to Swarm vector data in NEC frame is lower than for previous satellite missions, expect this to improve further
- Model produces stable images of field and rate of change in 2014 at CMB



- Derived a new time-dependent geomagnetic field model spanning 1999-2014
- Consistently accounts for 15 yrs of magnetic data, from 6 satellites (Ørsted, CHAMP, SAC-C, Swarm-A, Swarm-B, Swarm-C)
- Rms misfit to Swarm vector data in NEC frame is lower than for previous satellite missions, expect this to improve further
- Model produces stable images of field and rate of change in 2014 at CMB
- ▶ Localized acceleration episodes in 2006, 2009 and 2012-2014 require further study



- Derived a new time-dependent geomagnetic field model spanning 1999-2014
- Consistently accounts for 15 yrs of magnetic data, from 6 satellites (Ørsted, CHAMP, SAC-C, Swarm-A, Swarm-B, Swarm-C)
- Rms misfit to Swarm vector data in NEC frame is lower than for previous satellite missions, expect this to improve further
- Model produces stable images of field and rate of change in 2014 at CMB
- ▶ Localized acceleration episodes in 2006, 2009 and 2012-2014 require further study
- Moving forward new techniques needed to fully exploit Swarm data:
  - ► Use of of gradient estimates (poster of S. Kotsiaros et al.)
  - ► Covariance & bias due to unmodelled signals (poster of C. Finlay and N. Olsen)



- Derived a new time-dependent geomagnetic field model spanning 1999-2014
- Consistently accounts for 15 yrs of magnetic data, from 6 satellites (Ørsted, CHAMP, SAC-C, Swarm-A, Swarm-B, Swarm-C)
- Rms misfit to Swarm vector data in NEC frame is lower than for previous satellite missions, expect this to improve further
- Model produces stable images of field and rate of change in 2014 at CMB
- ▶ Localized acceleration episodes in 2006, 2009 and 2012-2014 require further study
- Moving forward new techniques needed to fully exploit Swarm data:
  - ► Use of of gradient estimates (poster of S. Kotsiaros et al.)
  - ► Covariance & bias due to unmodelled signals (poster of C. Finlay and N. Olsen)

Model presented here, CHAOS-4plus\_V3, is available to download from: http://www.spacecenter.dk/files/magnetic-models/CHAOS-4/

- Olsen, N., Lühr, H., Sabaka, T. J., Mandea, M., Rother, M., Tø ffner Clausen, L., & Choi, S., 2006. CHAOS— A model of Earth's Magnetic Field derived from CHAMP, ørsted and SAC-C magnetic satellite data, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **166**, 67–75.
- Olsen, N., Mandea, M., Sabaka, T. J., & Tøffner-Clausen, L., 2009. CHAOS-2 A Geomagnetic Field Model Derived from one Decade of Continuous Satellite Data, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **179**(3), 1477–1487.
- Olsen, N., Mandea, M., Sabaka, T. J., & Tøffner-Clausen, L., 2010. The CHAOS-3 Geomagnetic Field Model and Candidates for the 11th Generation of IGRF, *Earth Planets Space*, 62, 719–727.
- Olsen, N., Luehr, H., Finlay, C. C., Sabaka, T. J., & Tø ffner Clausen, L., 2014. The CHAOS-4 geomagnetic field model, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **197**(2), 815–827.



