Bridging the gap between CHAMP and Swarm: Using Ørsted and ground observatory data

Chris Finlay, Nils Olsen, Lars Tøffner-Clausen DTU Space

Terence J. Sabaka

Hermann Lühr, Ingo Michaelis, Jan Rauberg GEZ Potsdam



DTU Space National Space Institute

Talk Outline

1. Observations: CHAMP, Ørsted & ground observatories

- 2. Modelling approach
- 3. Lithospheric field from low altitude, solar min, CHAMP data
- 4. Secular variation during the satellite era
- 5. External field: variations with solar cycle?
- 6. Perspective: Looking forward to Swarm

Monitoring the present geomagnetic field

- Ørsted satellite launched in 1999.
- Since then, continuous monitoring from ground and space.
- ▶ The CHAMP satellite provided low altitude vector data 2000 2010.
- ▶ Ground network has evolved, now with new stations in remote locations.
- Overall, a wealth of data: CHAOS model aims to capture this in terms of high resolution spherical harmonic field models.



Fig: Examples of modern geomagnetic observation platforms: the CHAMP satellite 2000-2010 and Tristan da Cunha ground observatory, South Atlantic, since 2009.

The final days of CHAMP



Fig: CHAMP altitude decrease post 2009.0.





• CHAMP data only available until September 2010, Swarm not yet launched.



- ► CHAMP data only available until September 2010, Swarm not yet launched.
- ▶ No vector satellite observations available for about 3 years.

- ► CHAMP data only available until September 2010, Swarm not yet launched.
- ▶ No vector satellite observations available for about 3 years.
- What else do we have available?

- ► CHAMP data only available until September 2010, Swarm not yet launched.
- ▶ No vector satellite observations available for about 3 years.
- What else do we have available?
- Thankfully Ørsted is still providing some scalar data!

- ► CHAMP data only available until September 2010, Swarm not yet launched.
- ▶ No vector satellite observations available for about 3 years.
- What else do we have available?
- Thankfully Ørsted is still providing some scalar data!
- > And we have the ground observatories (some now reporting quasi-definitive data)

The Ørsted satellite





Fig: The Ørsted satellite.

Ørsted scalar data availability since 2010.0



Fig: Ørsted scalar data per month: As a percentage of the total possible (red dots), and the number of data suitable for CHAOS-type field modelling (blue bars).

 Data available from: ftp://ftp.space.dtu.dk/data/magnetic-satellites/Oersted/mag-f/



Example: 1 month of selected Ørsted F data from 2013



Fig: Selected Ørsted scalar field measurements, 15th March to 15th April 2013. Colour scale runs from 15,000nT to 45,000nT. The altitude varied from 630 to 850 km.

Location of ground observatories





Fig: Observatories where annual differences of monthly means are available. Those reporting quasi-definitive (QD) data in 2013 shown in red.

Talk Outline

1. Observations: CHAMP, Ørsted & ground observatories

2. Modelling approach

- 3. Lithospheric field from low altitude, solar min, CHAMP data
- 4. Secular variation during the satellite era
- 5. External field: variations with solar cycle?
- 6. Perspective: Looking forward to Swarm



▶ Following Olsen et al. (2006) satellite vector data is used in the instrument frame.



- ▶ Following Olsen et al. (2006) satellite vector data is used in the instrument frame.
- Euler angles co-estimated, in 10 day bins for CHAMP data.



- ▶ Following Olsen et al. (2006) satellite vector data is used in the instrument frame.
- Euler angles co-estimated, in 10 day bins for CHAMP data.
- The internal field is parameterized as

$$V^{\text{int}} = a \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{int}}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left(g_n^m(t) \cos m\phi + h_n^m(t) \sin m\phi \right) \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{n+1} P_n^m(\cos \theta)$$

▶ For $n \le 20$, $g_n^m(t)$ and $h_n^m(t)$ expanded in order 6 splines with knot spacing 0.5 yrs. ▶ For n > 20, g_n^m and h_n^m are static. $N_{int}=100$.



- ▶ Following Olsen et al. (2006) satellite vector data is used in the instrument frame.
- Euler angles co-estimated, in 10 day bins for CHAMP data.
- The internal field is parameterized as

$$V^{\text{int}} = a \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{int}}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left(g_n^m(t) \cos m\phi + h_n^m(t) \sin m\phi \right) \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^{n+1} P_n^m(\cos \theta)$$

For $n \le 20$, $g_n^m(t)$ and $h_n^m(t)$ expanded in order 6 splines with knot spacing 0.5 yrs. For n > 20, g_n^m and h_n^m are static. $N_{int}=100$.

- The external field parameterization consists of:
 - ▶ In SM co-ords, co-estimate degree 1 (0.5 day bins) and degree 2 (5 day bins).
 - ▶ Rapid changes in SM degree 1 parameterized by new (hourly) RC index.
 - ► Also solve for static degree 1 and 2 in GSM co-ords.

$$V^{\text{ext}} = a \sum_{n=1}^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left(q_{n}^{m} \cos mT_{d} + s_{n}^{m} \sin mT_{d} \right) P_{n}^{m} (\cos \theta_{d})$$

+ $a \sum_{m=0}^{1} \left(\hat{q}_{1}^{m} \cos T_{d} + \hat{s}_{1}^{m} \sin T_{d} \right) \cdot \left\{ RC_{\text{e}}(t) \left(\frac{r}{a} \right) + RC_{\text{i}}(t) \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^{2} \right\} P_{1}^{m} (\cos \theta_{d})$
+ $a \sum_{n=1}^{2} q_{n}^{0,\text{GSM}} R_{n}^{0}(r,\theta,\phi).$

Inversion: data selection & model estimation

Data selection

- Quiet times: ($Kp \leq 2o$, $|dD_{st}/dt| \leq 2nT$.)
- ▶ Night side: data from dark regions (sun 10 deg below horizon).
- ► Vector CHAMP and Ørsted data below 55 deg geomag lat if available.
- ► Otherwise scalar data from CHAMP, Ørsted and SAC-C.
- ► Also annual differences of revised monthly means from ground stations.

Inversion: data selection & model estimation

Data selection

- Quiet times: ($Kp \leq 2o$, $|dD_{st}/dt| \leq 2nT$.)
- ▶ Night side: data from dark regions (sun 10 deg below horizon).
- ► Vector CHAMP and Ørsted data below 55 deg geomag lat if available.
- ▶ Otherwise scalar data from CHAMP, Ørsted and SAC-C.
- ► Also annual differences of revised monthly means from ground stations.

Model Estimation

- ▶ Nonlinear problem: solve with iterative descent (quasi-Newton) algorithm.
- ▶ Iteratively re-weighted least squares (Huber weights) for robust data misfit.
- ▶ Regularize $n \le 20$ by minimizing $(d^3B_r/dt^3)^2$ at CMB.
- Regularize $n \ge 85$ by miniminzing B_r^2 at Earth's surface.

Inversion: data selection & model estimation

Data selection

- Quiet times: ($Kp \leq 2o$, $|dD_{st}/dt| \leq 2nT$.)
- ▶ Night side: data from dark regions (sun 10 deg below horizon).
- ► Vector CHAMP and Ørsted data below 55 deg geomag lat if available.
- ► Otherwise scalar data from CHAMP, Ørsted and SAC-C.
- ► Also annual differences of revised monthly means from ground stations.

Model Estimation

- ▶ Nonlinear problem: solve with iterative descent (quasi-Newton) algorithm.
- ▶ Iteratively re-weighted least squares (Huber weights) for robust data misfit.
- Regularize $n \leq 20$ by minimizing $(d^3B_r/dt^3)^2$ at CMB.
- Regularize $n \ge 85$ by miniminzing B_r^2 at Earth's surface.

CHAOS-4 strategy: 2 independent models

- ▶ Model 1: Full span 1997-2013.5, to degree 80. Focus on SV.
- ▶ Model 2: Only low altitude/solar min CHAMP data post 2009.0. Focus on crustal field up to n = 85.
- ▶ Final model by merging at degree 25 where high correlation btw models 1, 2.

NTII

Talk Outline

- 1. Observations: CHAMP, Ørsted & ground observatories
- 2. Modelling approach

3. Lithospheric field from low altitude, solar min, CHAMP data

- 4. Secular variation during the satellite era
- 5. External field: variations with solar cycle?
- 6. Perspective: Looking forward to Swarm

CHAOS-4h Lithospheric field at Earth's surface



DTU

CHAOS-4h - MF7



CHAOS-4h - GRIMM_L120





MF7 - GRIMM_ L120





Comparison of power spectra





Fig: Comparison of power spectra of models and differences above degree 40.

Degree correlation







Talk Outline

- 1. Observations: CHAMP, Ørsted & ground observatories
- 2. Modelling approach
- 3. Lithospheric field from low altitude, solar min, CHAMP data
- 4. Secular variation during the satellite era
- 5. External field: variations with solar cycle?
- 6. Perspective: Looking forward to Swarm

Fit to annual differences of observatory monthly means



Fig: Fit of time-dep models CHAOS-4 α (Olsen et al., 2010) (green) and the updated CHAOS-4 (red) to revised observatory monthly means. Components are plotted in dipole co-ordinates.

Time-dependence of secular variation coefficients





Fig: SV for a selection of Gauss coefficients. Units : nT/yr.

North magnetic dip pole and geomagnetic pole





Fig: Tracks of magnetic dip pole (red) and the geomagnetic pole (black), 1999 - 2013.5. DTU Space, Technical University of Denmark 25.8.2013

Core surface radial field in 1999.5





Fig: Radial field at core surface in 1999.5 to n = 14. Units : nT.

Core surface radial field in 2013.5





Fig: Radial field at core surface in 2013.5 to n = 14. Units : nT.

Core surface SV in 2013.5





Fig: SV of radial field at core surface in 2013.5 to n = 16. Units : nT/yr.

SV and SA spectra at core surface



Fig:SV and SA spectrum for 2008.0 and 2013.5 at core surface.

DTU

Recent rapid SV in the South Atlantic





Fig: dB_r/dt at HER, South Africa. CHAOS-4: red, earlier CHAOS-4 α : green.



28

25.8.2013

Talk Outline

- 1. Observations: CHAMP, Ørsted & ground observatories
- 2. Modelling approach
- 3. Lithospheric field from low altitude, solar min, CHAMP data
- 4. Secular variation during the satellite era
- 5. External field: variations with solar cycle?
- 6. Perspective: Looking forward to Swarm

RC index





Fig : RC index since 2010, with decomposition into internal and external parts.

Derived from hourly spherical harmonic analysis of mid/low latitude ground observatories, after correction for core field, static external field and observatory bias.

RC index: zoom in to storm, July 2012



Fig : Zoom in to show RC index tracking of a storm during July 2012.

External dipole from satellites: solar cycle dependence?



 $\label{eq:Fig: } {\rm Fig:} \ \Delta q_1^0(t) \ {\rm in} \ {\rm SM} \ {\rm co-ords} \ {\rm estimated} \ {\rm from} \ {\rm satellite} \ {\rm data}, \ {\rm this} \ {\rm is} \ {\rm the} \ {\rm correction} \ {\rm to} \ {\rm RC}_e \ {\rm derived} \ {\rm from} \ {\rm ground} \ {\rm data}.$

Talk Outline

- 1. Observations: CHAMP, Ørsted & ground observatories
- 2. Modelling approach
- 3. Lithospheric field from low altitude, solar min, CHAMP data
- 4. Secular variation during the satellite era
- 5. External field: variations with solar cycle?

6. Perspective: Looking forward to Swarm

Methodological challenges



- Residuals show correlated structures in both space and time: how best to handle?
- Can low altitude/solar min CHAMP data be used to better constrain lithospheric field? And can we develop more appropriate filtering and regularization schemes? e.g. Lesur et al. (2013)
- Core MHD suggests that the small length scale field should change more rapidly. Can we develop field models that are consistent with this prior information? e.g. Gillet et al. (2013)



Fig: Ørsted scalar residuals since 2010.0. Units: nT, scale is saturated.

Looking forward to ESA's Swarm mission





Fig: Artist's visualization of Swarm satellites due for launch in late 2013. Credit: ESA

- Improved local time coverage to help unravel puzzling structure of external fields.
- **E**-W low altitude pair to give definitive answers on n > 80 lithospheric field.
- In combination with better physics-based core field modelling schemes, should provide improved constraints on the core motions underlying rapid SV.

Summary

- Presented an updated CHAOS-4 model valid until 2013.5.
- ▶ Using only low altitude, solar min CHAMP data for the high degree field.
- Encouraging agreement between CHAOS-4, MF7 and GRIMM_ L120 to degree 75.
- ▶ Post-CHAMP, rely on Ørsted scalar data and ground station monthly means.
- ▶ Further rapid field changes in past 7 yrs in the South Atlantic region.
- (And of course) we are all looking forward to Swarm!

- Gillet, N., Jault, D., Finlay, C., & Olsen, N., 2013. Stochastic modelling of the earth's magnetic field: inversion for covariances over the observatory era, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 14, 766–786.
- Lesur, V., Rother, M., Vervelidou, F.AND Hamoudi, M., & Thébault, E., 2013. Post-processing scheme for modelling the lithospheric magnetic field., *Solid Earth*, 4, 105–118.
- Maus, S., Lühr, H., amd Rother, M., Hemant, K., Balasis, G., Ritter, P., & Stolle, C., 2010. Magnetic field model MF7, http://www.geomag.us/models/MF7.html.
- Olsen, N., Lühr, H., Sabaka, T. J., Mandea, M., Rother, M., Tøffner-Clausen, L., & Choi, S., 2006. CHAOS— A model of Earth's Magnetic Field derived from CHAMP, Ørsted and SAC-C magnetic satellite data, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **166**, 67–75.
- Olsen, N., Lühr, H., Sabaka, T. J., Michaelis, I., Rauberg, J., & Tøffner-Clausen, L., 2010. CHAOS-4 - A high-resolution geomagnetic field model derived from low-altitude CHAMP data, AGU Fall Meeting, December 2012.



